

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/709,249	11/10/2000	Alan H. Lazarus	701826-050990	3430	
7590 11/21/2002 Nixon Peabody LLP			EXAMINER		
101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110			EWOLDT, GERALD R		
·,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644 DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/709,249** 

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Lazarus et al.

1644

Art Unit

Examiner

G.R. Ewoldt

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	heet with	the correspondence address			
	for Reply		_				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM				
	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
-	mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
- If NO	If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.     Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t						
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 27, 2	2002		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>		<del></del>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	ard	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 💢 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	i: a) □	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) [	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) 🔯 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)					
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:	lormal Paten	t Application (PTO-152)			
	Simulation disclosure statement(s) (F10-1445) Paper No(s).	of Other:					

Serial No. 09/709,249 Art Unit 1644

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-5 are pending and being acted upon.
- 2. In view of Applicant's amendment and response, filed 8/27/02, the previous objections to the specification and rejections under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 are withdrawn.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4, and newly amended Claims 1, 2, and 5, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crow et al. (March 1999, of record) in view of Damjanovich et al. (1995, of record) and Shalit et al. (1985, of record), for the reasons of record as set forth in Paper No. 7, mailed 5/17/02.

Applicant's arguments, filed 8/27/02, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Crow et al. reference comprises the inventors' own work, published within a year of the application's priority date, and thus, may not be used as prior art. Applicant is advised that the reference was published before the priority date of the instant application "by another", i.e., the authors of the reference are not equal to the inventors of the instant application, accordingly, the rejection is proper.

Note that Applicant's amendment of Claims 1, 2, and 5 from a method of preventing to a method of inhibiting has necessitated their inclusion in this rejection.

- 5. No claim is allowed.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805 The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 at (703) 305-3014.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 November 19, 2002 Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Technology Center 1600

Pate J. Noz